arXiv:1301.1157v1 [math.CO] 7 Jan 2013

Determination of the prime bound of a graph

Abderrahim Boussaïri* Pierre Ille†‡

December 11, 2013

Abstract

Given a graph G, a subset M of $V(G)$ is a module of G if for each $v \in V(G) \smallsetminus M$, v is adjacent to all the elements of M or to none of them. For instance, $V(G)$, $\varnothing$ and $\{v\}$ ($v \in V(G)$) are modules of G called trivial. Given a graph G, $\omega_M(G)$ (respectively $\alpha_M(G)$) denotes the largest integer m such that there is a module M of G which is a clique (respectively a stable) set in G with $|M| = m$. A graph G is prime if $|V(G)| \geq 4$ and if all its modules are trivial. The prime bound of G is the smallest integer $p(G)$ such that there is a prime graph H with $V(H) \supseteq V(G)$, $H[V(G)] = G$ and $|V(H) \smallsetminus V(G)| = p(G)$. We establish the following. For every graph G such that $\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G)) \geq 2$ and $\log_2(\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G)))$ is not an integer, $p(G) = \lceil \log_2(\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G))) \rceil$. Then, we prove that for every graph G such that $\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G)) = 2^k$ where $k \geq 1$, $p(G) = k$ or $k+1$. Moreover $p(G) = k+1$ if and only if G or its complement admits 2^k isolated vertices. Lastly, we show that $p(G) = 1$ for every non prime graph G such that $|V(G)| \geq 4$ and $\alpha_M(G) = \omega_M(G) = 1$.

Mathematics Subject Classifications (2010): 05C70, 05C69

Key words: Module; prime graph; prime extension; prime bound; modular clique number; modular stability number

1 Introduction

A *graph* $G = (V(G), E(G))$ is constituted by a *vertex set* $V(G)$ and an *edge set* $E(G) \subseteq \binom{V(G)}{2}$. Given a set S, $K_S = (S, \binom{S}{2})$ is the *complete* graph on S whereas $(S, \varnothing)$ is the *empty* graph. Let G be a graph. With each $W \subseteq V(G)$ associate the *subgraph* $G[W] = (W, \binom{W}{2} \cap E(G))$ of G induced by W. Given $W \subseteq V(G)$, $G[V(G) \smallsetminus W]$ is also denoted by $G - W$ and by $G - w$ if $W = \{w\}$. A

---

[*] Faculté des Sciences Aïn Chock, Département de Mathématiques et Informatique, Km 8 route d'El Jadida, BP 5366 Maarif, Casablanca, Maroc; `aboussairi@hotmail.com`.

[†] Institut de Mathématiques de Luminy, CNRS – UMR 6206, 163 avenue de Luminy, Case 907, 13288 Marseille Cedex 09, France; `ille@iml.univ-mrs.fr`.

[‡] Centre de recherches mathématiques, Université de Montréal, Case postale 6128, Succursale Centre-ville, Montréal, Québec, Canada H3C 3J7.

graph H is an *extension* of G if $V(H) \supseteq V(G)$ and $H[V(G)] = G$. Given $p \geq 0$, a p-extension of G is an extension H of G such that $|V(H) \smallsetminus V(G)| = p$. The *complement* of G is the graph $\overline{G} = (V(G), \binom{V(G)}{2} \smallsetminus E(G))$. A subset W of $V(G)$ is a *clique* (respectively a *stable set*) in G if $G[W]$ is complete (respectively empty). The largest cardinality of a clique (respectively a stable set) in G is the *clique number* (respectively the *stability number*) of G, denoted by $\omega(G)$ (respectively $\alpha(G)$). Given $v \in V(G)$, the *neighbourhood* $N_G(v)$ of v in G is the family $\{w \in V(G) : \{v, w\} \in E(G)\}$. We consider N_G as the function from $V(G)$ to $2^{V(G)}$ defined by $v \mapsto N_G(v)$ for each $v \in V(G)$. A vertex v of G is *isolated* if $N_G(v) = \varnothing$. The number of isolated vertices of G is denoted by $\iota(G)$.

We use the following notation. Let G be a graph. For $v \neq w \in V(G)$,

$$(v, w)_G = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \{v, w\} \notin E(G), \\ 1 & \text{if } \{v, w\} \in E(G). \end{cases}$$

Given $W \subsetneq V(G)$, $v \in V(G) \smallsetminus W$ and $i \in \{0, 1\}$, $(v, W)_G = i$ means $(v, w)_G = i$ for every $w \in W$. Given $W, W' \subsetneq V(G)$, with $W \cap W' = \varnothing$, and $i \in \{0, 1\}$, $(W, W')_G = i$ means $(w, W')_G = i$ for every $w \in W$. Given $W \subsetneq V(G)$ and $v \in V(G) \smallsetminus W$, $v \longleftrightarrow_G W$ means that there is $i \in \{0, 1\}$ such that $(v, W)_G = i$. The negation is denoted by $v \not\longleftrightarrow_G W$.

Given a graph G, a subset M of $V(G)$ is a *module* of G if for each $v \in V(G) \smallsetminus M$, we have $v \longleftrightarrow_G M$. For instance, $V(G)$, $\varnothing$ and $\{v\}$ ($v \in V(G)$) are modules of G called *trivial*. Clearly, if $|V(G)| \leq 2$, then all the modules of G are trivial. On the other hand, if $|V(G)| = 3$, then G admits a nontrivial module. A graph G is then said to be *prime* if $|V(G)| \geq 4$ and if all its modules are trivial. For instance, given $n \geq 4$, the *path* $(\{1, \dots, n\}, \{\{p, q\} : |p - q| = 1\})$ is prime. Given a graph G, G and $\overline{G}$ share the same modules. Thus G is prime if and only if $\overline{G}$ is.

Given a set S with $|S| \geq 2$, K_S admits a prime $\lceil \log_2(|S| + 1) \rceil$-extension (see Sumner [8, Theorem 2.45] or Lemma 3 below). This is extended to any graph in [2, Theorem 3.7] and [3, Theorem 3.2] as follows.

Theorem 1. *A graph G, with $|V(G)| \geq 2$, admits a prime $\lceil \log_2(|V(G)| + 1) \rceil$-extension.*

Following Theorem 1, we introduce the notion of prime bound. Let G be a graph. The *prime bound* of G is the smallest integer $p(G)$ such that G admits a prime $p(G)$-extension. Observe that $p(G) = p(\overline{G})$ for every graph G. By Theorem 1, $p(G) \leq \lceil \log_2(|V(G)| + 1) \rceil$. By considering the clique number and the stability number, Brignall [2, Conjecture 3.8] conjectured the following.

Conjecture 1. *For a graph G with $|V(G)| \geq 2$,*

$$p(G) \leq \lceil \log_2(\max(\alpha(G), \omega(G)) + 1) \rceil.$$

We answer the conjecture positively by refining the notions of clique number and of stability number as follows. Given a graph G, the *modular clique number* of G is the largest integer $\omega_M(G)$ such that there is a module M of G which is a clique in G with $|M| = \omega_M(G)$. The *modular stability number* of G is $\alpha_M(G) = \omega_M(\overline{G})$. The following lower bound is simply obtained.

Lemma 1. *For every graph G such that $\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G)) \geq 2$,*

$$p(G) \geq \lceil \log_2(\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G))) \rceil.$$

Theorem 3.2 of [3] is proved by induction on the number of vertices. Using the main arguments of this proof, we improve Theorem 1 as follows.

Theorem 2. *For every graph G such that $\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G)) \geq 2$,*

$$p(G) \leq \lceil \log_2(\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G)) + 1) \rceil.$$

The proof of Theorem 2 derives from an induction as well. A direct construction of a suitable extension is provided in [1, Theorem 2]. The following is an immediate consequence of Lemma 1 and Theorem 2.

Corollary 1. *For every graph G such that $\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G)) \geq 2$,*

$$\lceil \log_2(\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G))) \rceil \leq p(G) \leq \lceil \log_2(\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G)) + 1) \rceil.$$

Let G be graph such that $\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G)) \geq 2$. On the one hand, it follows from Corollary 1 that

$$\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G)) \notin \{2^k : k \geq 1\} \;\Rightarrow\; p(G) = \lceil \log_2(\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G))) \rceil.$$

On the other, if $\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G)) = 2^k$, where $k \geq 1$, then $p(G) = k$ or $k+1$. The next allows us to determine this.

Theorem 3. *For every graph G such that $\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G)) = 2^k$ where $k \geq 1$,*

$$p(G) = k + 1 \text{ if and only if } \iota(G) = 2^k \text{ or } \iota(\overline{G}) = 2^k.$$

Lastly, we show that $p(G) = 1$ for every non prime graph G such that $|V(G)| \geq 4$ and $\alpha_M(G) = \omega_M(G) = 1$ (see Proposition 8).

2 Preliminaries

Given a graph G, the family of the modules of G is denoted by $\mathcal{M}(G)$. Furthermore set

$$\mathcal{M}_{\geq 2}(G) = \{M \in \mathcal{M}(G) : |M| \geq 2\}.$$

We begin with the well known properties of the modules of a graph (for example, see [5, Theorem 3.2, Lemma 3.9]).

Proposition 1. *Let G be a graph.*

1. *Given $W \subseteq V(G)$, $\{M \cap W : M \in \mathcal{M}(G)\} \subseteq \mathcal{M}(G[W])$.*

2. *Given a module* $M \in \mathcal{M}(G)$*,* $\mathcal{M}(G[M]) = \{N \in \mathcal{M}(G) : N \subseteq M\}$*.*
3. *Given* $M, N \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ *with* $M \cap N = \varnothing$*, there is* $i \in \{0, 1\}$ *such that* $(M, N)_G = i$*.*

Given a graph G, a partition P of $V(G)$ is a *modular partition* of G if $P \subseteq \mathcal{M}(G)$. Let P be such a partition. Given $M \neq N \in P$, there is $i \in \{0, 1\}$ such that $(M, N)_G = i$ by Proposition 1.3. This justifies the following definition. The *quotient* of G by P is the graph G/P defined on $V(G/P) = P$ by $(M, N)_{G/P} = (M, N)_G$ for $M \neq N \in P$. We use the following properties of the quotient (for example, see [5, Theorems 4.1–4.3, Lemma 4.1]).

Proposition 2. *Given a graph* G*, consider a modular partition* P *of* G*.*

1. *Given* $W \subseteq V(G)$*, if* $|W \cap X| = 1$ *for each* $X \in P$*, then* $G[W]$ *and* G/P *are isomorphic.*
2. *For every* $M \in \mathcal{M}(G)$*,* $\{X \in P : M \cap X \neq \varnothing\} \in \mathcal{M}(G/P)$*.*
3. *For every* $Q \in \mathcal{M}(G/P)$*,* $\bigcup Q \in \mathcal{M}(G)$*.*

The following strengthening of the notion of module is introduced to present the modular decomposition theorem (see Theorem 4 below). Given a graph G, a module M of G is said to be *strong* provided that for every $N \in \mathcal{M}(G)$, we have: if $M \cap N \neq \varnothing$, then $M \subseteq N$ or $N \subseteq M$. The family of the strong modules of G is denoted by $\mathcal{S}(G)$. Furthermore set

$$\mathcal{S}_{\geq 2}(G) = \{M \in \mathcal{S}(G) : |M| \geq 2\}.$$

We recall the following well known properties of the strong modules of a graph (for example, see [5, Theorem 3.3]).

Proposition 3. *Let* G *be a graph. For every* $M \in \mathcal{M}(G)$*,* $\mathcal{S}(G[M]) = \{N \in \mathcal{S}(G) : N \subsetneq M\} \cup \{M\}$*.*

With each graph G, we associate the family $\Pi(G)$ of the maximal proper and nonempty strong modules of G under inclusion. For convenience set

$$\Pi_1(G) = \{M \in \Pi(G) : |M| = 1\} \text{ and } \Pi_{\geq 2}(G) = \{M \in \Pi(G) : |M| \geq 2\}.$$

The modular decomposition theorem is stated as follows.

Theorem 4 (Gallai [6, 7])**.** *For a graph* G *with* $|V(G)| \geq 2$*, the family* $\Pi(G)$ *realizes a modular partition of* G*. Moreover, the corresponding quotient* $G/\Pi(G)$ *is complete, empty or prime.*

Let G be a graph with $|V(G)| \geq 2$. As a direct consequence of the definition of a strong module, we obtain that the family $\mathcal{S}(G) \setminus \{\varnothing\}$ endowed with inclusion is a tree called the *modular decomposition tree* [4] of G. Given $M \in \mathcal{S}_{\geq 2}(G)$, it follows from Proposition 3 that $\Pi(G[M]) \subseteq \mathcal{S}(G)$. Furthermore, given $W \subseteq$

$V(G)$, the family $\{M \in \mathcal{S}(G) : M \supseteq W\}$ endowed with inclusion is a total order. Its smallest element is denoted by $\widehat{W}$.

Let G be a graph with $|V(G)| \geq 2$. Using Theorem 4, we label $\mathcal{S}_{\geq 2}(G)$ by the function λ_G defined as follows. For each $M \in \mathcal{S}_{\geq 2}(G)$,

$$\lambda_G(M) = \begin{cases} \blacksquare \text{ if } G[M]/\Pi(G[M]) \text{ is complete,} \\ \square \text{ if } G[M]/\Pi(G[M]) \text{ is empty,} \\ \sqcup \text{ if } G[M]/\Pi(G[M]) \text{ is prime.} \end{cases}$$

3 Some prime extensions

Lemma 2. *Let S and S' be disjoint sets such that $|S| \geq 2$ and $|S'| = \lceil \log_2(|S| + 1) \rceil$. There exists a prime graph G defined on $V(G) = S \cup S'$ such that S and S' are stable sets in G.*

Proof. If $|S| = 2$, then $|S'| = 2$ and we can choose a path on 4 vertices for G. Assume that $|S| \geq 3$. As $|S'| = \lceil \log_2(|S| + 1) \rceil$, $2^{|S'|-1} \leq |S|$ and hence $|S'| \leq |S|$. Thus there exists a bijection $\psi_{S'}$ from S' onto $S'' \subseteq S$. Consider the injection $f_{S''} : S'' \longrightarrow 2^{S'} \setminus \{\varnothing\}$ defined by $s'' \mapsto S' \setminus \{(\psi_{S'})^{-1}(s'')\}$. Since $|S'| = \lceil \log_2(|S| + 1) \rceil$, $|S| < 2^{|S'|}$ and there exists an injection f_S from S into $2^{S'} \setminus \{\varnothing\}$ such that $(f_S)_{\restriction S''} = f_{S''}$. Lastly, consider the graph G defined on $V(G) = S \cup S'$ such that S and S' are stable sets in G and $(N_G)_{\restriction S} = f_S$. We prove that G is prime. If $|S| = 3$, then $|S'| = 2$ and G is a path on 5 vertices which is prime. Assume that $|S| \geq 4$ and hence $|S'| \geq 3$. Let $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\geq 2}(G)$.

First, if $M \subseteq S$, then we would have $f_S(u) = f_S(v)$ for any $u \neq v \in M$. Thus $M \cap S' \neq \varnothing$.

Second, suppose that $M \subseteq S'$. Recall that for each $s \in S$, either $M \cap N_G(s) = \varnothing$ or $M \subseteq N_G(s)$. Given $u \in M$, consider the function $f : S \longrightarrow 2^{((S' \setminus M) \cup \{u\})} \setminus \{\varnothing\}$ defined by

$$f(s) = \begin{cases} N_G(s) \text{ if } M \cap N_G(s) = \varnothing, \\ (N_G(s) \setminus M) \cup \{u\} \text{ if } M \subseteq N_G(s), \end{cases}$$

for every $s \in S$. Since $(N_G)_{\restriction S}$ is injective, f is also and we would obtain that $|S| < 2^{|S'|-1}$. It follows that $M \cap S \neq \varnothing$.

Third, suppose that $S' \setminus M \neq \varnothing$. We have $(S \cap M, S' \setminus M)_G = (S' \cap M, S' \setminus M)_G = 0$. Given $s' \in S' \cap M$, $N_G(\psi_{S'}(s')) = S' \setminus \{s'\}$. In particular $S' \setminus M \subseteq N_G(\psi_{S'}(s'))$ and hence $\psi_{S'}(s') \in S \setminus M$. Furthermore $(\psi_{S'}(s'), S' \cap M)_G = (\psi_{S'}(s'), S \cap M)_G = 0$. Therefore $S' \cap M = \{s'\}$. Similarly, we prove that $|S' \setminus M| = 1$ which would imply that $|S'| = 2$. It follows that $S' \subseteq M$.

Lastly, suppose that $S \setminus M \neq \varnothing$. For each $s \in S \setminus M \neq \varnothing$, we would have $(s, S')_G = (s, S \cap M)_G = 0$ and hence $N_G(s) = \varnothing$. It follows that $S \subseteq M$ and $M = S \cup S'$. ◇

Lemma 3. *Let C and S' be disjoint sets such that $|C| \geq 2$ and $|S'| = \lceil \log_2(|C|+1) \rceil$. There exists a prime graph G defined on $V(G) = C \cup S'$ such that C is a clique and S' is a stable set in G.*

Proof. There exists a bijection $\psi_{S'}$ from S' onto $S'' \subseteq C$. Consider the injection $f_{S''} : S'' \longrightarrow 2^{S'} \setminus \{S'\}$ defined by $s'' \mapsto \{(\psi_{S'})^{-1}(s'')\}$. Let f_S be any injection from S into $2^{S'} \setminus \{S'\}$ such that $(f_S)_{\restriction S''} = f_{S''}$. Lastly, consider the graph G defined on $V(G) = C \cup S'$ such that C is a clique in G, S' is a stable set in G and $N_G(c) \cap S' = f_S(c)$ for each $c \in C$. We prove that G is prime. Let $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\geq 2}(G)$. As in the proof of Lemma 2, we have $M \cap C \neq \varnothing$ and $M \cap S' \neq \varnothing$.

Now, suppose that $S' \setminus M \neq \varnothing$. We have $(C \cap M, S' \setminus M)_G = (S' \cap M, S' \setminus M)_G = 0$. Given $t' \in S' \setminus M$, $N_G(\psi_{S'}(t')) \cap S' = \{t'\}$. Thus $\psi_{S'}(t') \in C \setminus M$. But $(\psi_{S'}(t'), S' \cap M)_G = (\psi_{S'}(t'), C \cap M)_G = 1$ which contradicts $N_G(\psi_{S'}(t')) \cap S' = \{t'\}$. It follows that $S' \subseteq M$.

Lastly, suppose that $C \setminus M \neq \varnothing$. For each $c \in C \setminus M \neq \varnothing$, we would have $(c, S')_G = (c, C \cap M)_G = 1$ and hence $N_G(c) \cap S' = S'$. It follows that $S \subseteq M$ and $M = S \cup S'$. ◇

The question of prime extensions of a prime graph is not detailed enough in [3]. For instance, the number of prime 1-extensions of a prime graph given in [3] is not correct. Moreover, Corollary 2 below is used without a precise proof.

Lemma 4. *Let G be a prime graph G. Given $a \notin V(G)$, there exist*

$$2^{|V(G)|} - 2|V(G)| - 2$$

distinct prime extensions of G to $V(G) \cup \{a\}$.

Proof. Consider any graph H defined on $V(H) = V(G) \cup \{a\}$ such that $H[V(G)] = G$. We prove that H is not prime if and only if

$$N_H(a) \in \{\varnothing, V(G)\} \cup \{N_G(v) : v \in V(G)\} \cup \{N_G(v) \cup \{v\} : v \in V(G)\}.$$

To begin, assume that $N_H(a) \in \{\varnothing, V(G)\} \cup \{N_G(v) : v \in V(G)\} \cup \{N_G(v) \cup \{v\} : v \in V(G)\}$. If $N_H(a) = \varnothing$ or $V(G)$, then $V(G)$ is a nontrivial module of H. If there is $v \in V(G)$ such that $N_H(a) \setminus \{v\} = N_G(v)$, then $\{a, v\}$ is a nontrivial module of H.

Conversely, assume that H admits a nontrivial module M. By Proposition 1.1, $M \setminus \{a\} \in \mathcal{M}(G)$. As G is prime and as $M \setminus \{a\} \neq \varnothing$ and $M \subsetneq V(H)$, either $|M \setminus \{a\}| = 1$ or $M = V(G)$. In the second instance, $N_H(a) = \varnothing$ or $V(G)$. In the first, there is $v \in V(G)$ such that $M = \{a, v\}$. Thus $N_H(a) = N_G(v)$ or $N_G(v) \cup \{v\}$.

To conclude, observe that

$$|\{\varnothing, V(G)\} \cup \{N_G(v) : v \in V(G)\} \cup \{N_G(v) \cup \{v\} : v \in V(G)\}| = 2 + 2|V(G)|$$

because G is prime. ◇

Corollary 2. *Let G be a prime graph G. For any $a \neq b \notin V(G)$, there exists a prime extension H of G to $V(G) \cup \{a,b\}$ such that $(a,b)_H = 0$.*

Proof. Since $|V(G)| \geq 4$, $2^{|V(G)|} - 2|V(G)| - 2 \geq 2$. Consequently there is an extension H of G to $V(G) \cup \{a,b\}$ such that $(a,b)_H = 0$, $N_H(a) \neq N_H(b)$ and

$$N_H(a), N_H(b) \notin \{\varnothing, V(G)\} \cup \{N_G(v) : v \in V(G)\} \cup \{N_G(v) \cup \{v\} : v \in V(G)\}.$$

By the proof of Lemma 4, $H - a$ and $H - b$ are prime. We show that H is prime also. Let $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\geq 2}(H)$. By Proposition 1.1, $M \smallsetminus \{a\} \in \mathcal{M}(H - a)$. As $H - a$ is prime and $M \smallsetminus \{a\} \neq \varnothing$, either $|M \smallsetminus \{a\}| = 1$ or $M \smallsetminus \{a\} = V(H) \smallsetminus \{a\}$. In the first, there is $v \in V(G) \cup \{b\}$ such that $M = \{a, v\}$. If $v = b$, then $N_H(a) = N_H(b)$. If $v \in V(G)$, then $\{a, v\}$ would be a nontrivial module of $H - b$. Consequently $M \smallsetminus \{a\} = V(H) \smallsetminus \{a\}$. Since $H - b$ is prime, $a \not\longleftrightarrow_H V(G)$ and hence $a \in M$. Thus $M = V(H)$. ◇

4 Proof of Theorem 2

Let G be a graph with $|V(G)| \geq 2$. By [3, Theorem 3.2], there exists a prime extension H of G such that

$$\begin{cases} 2 \leq |V(H) \smallsetminus V(G)| \leq \lceil \log_2(|V(G)| + 1) \rceil \\ \text{and} \\ V(H) \smallsetminus V(G) \text{ is a stable set in } H. \end{cases}$$

We can consider the smallest integer $q(G)$ such that $q(G) \geq 2$ and G admits a prime $q(G)$-extension H such that $V(H) \smallsetminus V(G)$ is a stable set in H.

The results below, from Proposition 4 to Corollary 4, are suggested by the proof of [3, Theorem 3.2].

We introduce a basic construction. Consider a graph G and a modular partition P of G such that $P \subseteq \mathcal{S}(G)$ and $P \cap \mathcal{S}_{\geq 2}(G) \neq \varnothing$. Let $X \in P \cap \mathcal{S}_{\geq 2}(G)$ such that

$$q(G[X]) = \max(\{q(G[Y]) : Y \in P \cap \mathcal{S}_{\geq 2}(G)\}).$$

Consider a set S such that $S \cap V(G) = \varnothing$ and $|S| = q(G[X])$. There exists a prime $q(G[X])$-extension H_X of $G[X]$ to $X \cup S$ such that S is a stable set in H_X. Since X is not a module of H_X, there is $s_X \in S$ such that $s_X \not\longleftrightarrow_G X$. Furthermore, if there is $v \in S$ such that $(v, X)_{H_X} = 0$, then $V(H_X) \smallsetminus \{v\}$ would be a nontrivial module of H_X. Thus $\{v \in S : v \longleftrightarrow_{H_X} X\} = \{v \in S : (v, X)_{H_X} = 1\}$. As S is a stable set in H_X, $\{v \in S : (v, X)_{H_X} = 1\}$ is a module of G. It follows that

$$\begin{cases} \{v \in S : v \longleftrightarrow_{H_X} X\} = \{v \in S : (v, X)_{H_X} = 1\} \\ \text{and} \\ |\{v \in S : v \longleftrightarrow_{H_X} X\}| \leq 1 \\ \text{and} \\ s_X \in S \smallsetminus \{v \in S : v \longleftrightarrow_{H_X} X\}. \end{cases}$$

Now, for each $Y \in (P \cap \mathcal{S}_{\geq 2}(G)) \setminus \{X\}$, there is a prime $q(G[Y])$-extension H_Y of $G[Y]$ to $Y \cup S_Y$ such that $\{v \in S : v \longleftrightarrow_{H_X} X\} \subseteq S_Y \subseteq S$ and S_Y is a stable set in H_Y. Consider the extension H of G to $V(G) \cup S$ satisfying

- for each $Y \in P \cap \mathcal{S}_{\geq 2}(G)$, $H[Y \cup S_Y] = H_Y$;
- for each $v \in V(G)$ such that $\{v\} \in P$, $(v, S \setminus \{s_X\})_H = 0$ and $(v, s_X)_H = 1$.

Proposition 4. *Given a graph G, consider a modular partition P of G such that $P \subseteq \mathcal{S}(G)$ and $P \cap \mathcal{S}_{\geq 2}(G) \neq \varnothing$. If the corresponding extension H is not prime, then all the nontrivial modules of G are included in $\{v \in V(G) : \{v\} \in P\}$.*

Proof. Let M be a nontrivial module of H. By Proposition 1.1, $M \cap (X \cup S) \in \mathcal{M}(H[X \cup S])$. Since $H[X \cup S]$ is prime, we have $M \supseteq X \cup S$, $|M \cap (X \cup S)| = 1$ or $M \cap (X \cup S) = \varnothing$.

For a first contradiction, suppose that $M \supseteq X \cup S$. Let $v \in V$ such that $\{v\} \in P$. As $v \not\longleftrightarrow_H S$, $v \in M$. Thus $\{v \in V(G) : \{v\} \in P\} \subseteq M$. Let $Y \in P \cap \mathcal{S}_{\geq 2}(G)$. By Proposition 1.1, $M \cap (Y \cup S_Y) \in \mathcal{M}(H[Y \cup S_Y])$. Since $H[Y \cup S_Y]$ is prime and since $S_Y \subseteq M \cap (Y \cup S_Y)$, $Y \subseteq M$. Therefore $\bigcup(P \cap \mathcal{S}_{\geq 2}(G)) \subseteq M$ and we would have $M = V(H)$.

For a second contradiction, suppose that $|M \cap (X \cup S)| = 1$. Consider $v \in S \cup X$ such that $M \cap (X \cup S) = \{v\}$. Suppose that $v \in X$. We have $M \subseteq V(G)$ and $M \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ by Proposition 1.1. As $X \in \mathcal{S}(G)$ and $v \in X \cap M$, $X \subseteq M$ or $M \subseteq X$. In both cases, we would have $|M \cap (X \cup S)| \geq 2$. Suppose that $v \in S$. There is $Y \in P \setminus \{X\}$ such that $Y \cap M \neq \varnothing$. Let $y \in Y \cap M$. Since $y \longleftrightarrow_G X$, $v \longleftrightarrow_{H_X} X$ and hence $v \neq s_X$. If $Y \in P \cap \mathcal{S}_{\geq 2}(G)$, then $v \in S_Y$ and $M \cap (Y \cup S_Y)$ would be a nontrivial module of $H[Y \cup S_Y]$. If $Y = \{y\}$, then $(y, s_X)_H = 1$. Thus $(v, s_X)_H = 1$ and S would not be a stable set in H.

It follows that $M \cap (X \cup S) = \varnothing$. By Proposition 1.1, $M \in \mathcal{M}(G)$. Let $Y \in (P \cap \mathcal{S}_{\geq 2}(G)) \setminus \{X\}$. Suppose for a contradiction that $Y \cap M \neq \varnothing$. As $Y \in \mathcal{S}(G)$, $Y \subseteq M$ or $M \subseteq Y$. In both cases, $M \cap (Y \cup S_Y)$ would be a nontrivial module of $H[Y \cup S_Y]$. It follows that $Y \cap M = \varnothing$. Therefore $M \subseteq \{v \in V(G) : \{v\} \in P\}$. ◇

Corollary 3. *Given a graph G such that $G/\Pi(G)$ is prime, we have*

$$q(G) \leq \begin{cases} 2 \text{ if } \Pi_{\geq 2}(G) = \varnothing \\ \max(\{q(G[X]) : X \in \Pi_{\geq 2}(G)\}) \text{ if } \Pi_{\geq 2}(G) \neq \varnothing. \end{cases}$$

Proof. If G is prime, then $q(G) \leq 2$ by Corollary 2, and hence $q(G) = 2$. Assume that G is not prime, that is, $\Pi_{\geq 2}(G) \neq \varnothing$. Let H be the extension of G associated with $\Pi(G)$. Suppose that H admits a nontrivial module M. By Proposition 4, $\{\{u\} : u \in M\} \subseteq \Pi_1(G)$. Thus $M \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ by Proposition 1.1. By Proposition 2.2, $\{\{u\} : u \in M\}$ would be a nontrivial module of $G/\Pi(G)$. ◇

Proposition 5. *Given a graph G such that $G/\Pi(G)$ is complete or empty, we have*

$$\begin{cases} q(G) \leq \max(2, \lceil \log_2(|\Pi_1(G)| + 1) \rceil) \\ \text{or} \\ q(G) \leq \max(\{q(G[X]) : X \in \Pi_{\geq 2}(G)\}). \end{cases}$$

Proof. Assume that $G/\Pi(G)$ is empty. If $\Pi(G) = \Pi_1(G)$, then G is empty by Proposition 2.1, and it suffices to apply Lemma 2. Assume that $\Pi_{\geq 2}(G) \neq \varnothing$ and set

$$W_2 = \bigcup \Pi_{\geq 2}(G).$$

Let H be the extension of G associated with $\Pi(G)$. Recall that $V(H) = V(G) \cup S$, $V(G) \cap S = \varnothing$ and $|S| = q(G[X])$ where $X \in \Pi_{\geq 2}(G)$ such that $q(G[X]) = \max(\{q(G[Y]) : Y \in \Pi_{\geq 2}(G)\})$. Moreover $H[X \cup S]$ is prime.

If $|\Pi_1(G)| \leq 1$, then H is prime by Proposition 4 so that $q(G) \leq \max(\{q(G[Y]) : Y \in \Pi_{\geq 2}(G)\})$. Assume that $|\Pi_1(G)| \geq 2$ and set

$$W_1 = V(G) \setminus W_2.$$

By Lemma 2, there exists a prime extension H_1 of $G[W_1]$ to $W_1 \cup S_1$ such that $|S_1| = \lceil \log_2(|W_1| + 1) \rceil$ and S_1 is stable in H_1. As $G/\Pi(G)$ is empty, $\Pi_{\geq 2}(G) \in \mathcal{M}(G/\Pi(G))$. By Proposition 2.3, $W_2 \in \mathcal{M}(G)$. Thus $\Pi_{\geq 2}(G) \subseteq \mathcal{S}(G[W_2])$ by Proposition 3. It follows from Proposition 4 that $H[W_2 \cup S]$ is prime. We construct suitable extensions of G according to whether $|S_1| \leq |S|$ or not.

To begin, assume that $|S_1| \leq |S|$. We can assume that

$$\{v \in S : v \longleftrightarrow_{H[X \cup S]} X\} \subseteq S_1 \subseteq S$$

and we consider an extension H' of H_1 and $H[W_2 \cup S]$ to $V(G) \cup S$. We show that H' is prime. Let $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\geq 2}(H')$. By Proposition 1.1, $M \cap (W_2 \cup S) \in \mathcal{M}(H[W_2 \cup S])$. Since $H[W_2 \cup S]$ is prime, $M \cap (W_2 \cup S) = \varnothing$, $|M \cap (W_2 \cup S)| = 1$ or $M \supseteq (W_2 \cup S)$.

- Suppose for a contradiction that $M \cap (W_2 \cup S) = \varnothing$. By Proposition 1.1, M would be a nontrivial module of H_1.

- Suppose for a contradiction that $|M \cap (W_2 \cup S)| = 1$ and consider $w \in W_2 \cup S$ such that $M \cap (W_2 \cup S) = \{w\}$. First, suppose that $w \in W_2$ and consider $Y \in \Pi_{\geq 2}(G)$ such that $w \in Y$. By Proposition 1.1, $M \in \mathcal{M}(G)$. As $Y \in \mathcal{S}(G)$ and $w \in X \cap M$, $X \subseteq M$ or $M \subseteq X$. In both cases, we would have $|M \cap (W_2 \cup S)| \geq 2$. Second, suppose that $w \in S$ and consider $v \in W_1 \cap M$. Since $v \longleftrightarrow_G X$, $w \longleftrightarrow_{H[W_2 \cup S]} X$ and hence $w \in S_1$. It follows from Proposition 1.1 that M would be a nontrivial module of H_1.

Consequently $M \supseteq (W_2 \cup S)$. By Proposition 1.1, $M \cap (W_1 \cup S_1) \in \mathcal{M}(H_1)$. As H_1 is prime and $M \cap (W_1 \cup S_1) \supseteq S_1$, $M \cap (W_1 \cup S_1) = (W_1 \cup S_1)$ so that $M = V(H')$.

Now, assume that $|S_1| > |S|$. We can assume that $S \subsetneq S_1$ and we consider the unique extension H'' of H_1 and $H[W_2 \cup S]$ to $V(G) \cup S_1$ such that

$$(W_2, S_1 \setminus S)_{H''} = 0. \tag{1}$$

We show that H'' is prime. Let $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\geq 2}(H'')$. We obtain $M \cap (W_1 \cup S_1) = \varnothing$, $|M \cap (W_1 \cup S_1)| = 1$ or $M \supseteq (W_1 \cup S_1)$. If $M \cap (W_1 \cup S_1) = \varnothing$, then M would be a nontrivial module of $H[W_2 \cup S]$.

Suppose for a contradiction that $|M\cap(W_1\cup S)_1| = 1$ and consider $w \in W_1\cup S_1$ such that $M\cap(W_1\cup S_1) = \{w\}$. There is $v \in W_2\cap M$. Let $Y \in \Pi_{\geq 2}(G)$ such that $v\in Y$.

- Suppose that $w \in W_1$. By Proposition 1.1, $M \in \mathcal{M}(G)$. Since $Y \in \mathcal{S}(G)$ and since $Y\cap M \neq \varnothing$ and $w \in M\smallsetminus Y$, $Y \subseteq M$. It follows from Proposition 1.1 that $M\cap(W_2\cup S)$ would be a nontrivial module of $H[W_2\cup S]$.
- Suppose that $w \in S_1$. By Proposition 1.1, $M\cap(W_2\cup S) \in \mathcal{M}(H[W_2\cup S])$. As $H[W_2\cup S]$ is prime and as $v \in M\cap W_2$ and $M\cap S \subseteq \{w\}$, $M\cap(W_2\cup S) = \{v\}$ and hence $w \in S_1\smallsetminus S$. For every $u \in W_2\smallsetminus\{v\}$, we have $(u,v)_G = (u,w)_{H''} = 0$ by (1). Since $(v,W_1)_G = 0$, we would have $N_G(v) = \varnothing$ and hence $\{v\} \in \Pi_1(G)$.

It follows that $M \supseteq (W_1\cup S_1)$. By Proposition 1.1, $M\cap(W_2\cup S) \in \mathcal{M}(H[W_2\cup S])$. As $H[W_2\cup S]$ is prime and $M\cap(W_2\cup S) \supseteq S$, $M\cap(W_2\cup S) = (W_2\cup S)$ so that $M = V(H'')$.

Finally, observe that when $G/\Pi(G)$ is complete, we can proceed as previously by replacing (1) by $(W_2, S_1\smallsetminus S)_{H''} = 1$. ◇

The next result follows from Corollary 3 and Proposition 5 by climbing the modular decomposition tree from bottom to top.

Corollary 4. *Given a graph* G*, if there is* $X \in \mathcal{S}_{\geq 2}(G)$ *such that* $\lambda_G(X) \in \{\square,\blacksquare\}$ *and* $|\Pi_1(G[X])| \geq 2$*, then*

$$q(G) \leq \max(\{\lceil \log_2(|\Pi_1(G[Y])|+1)\rceil : Y \in \mathcal{S}_{\geq 2}(G), \lambda_G(Y) \in \{\square,\blacksquare\}\}).$$

Given Corollary 4, Theorem 2 follows from the next transcription in terms of the modular decomposition tree. Let G be a graph. Denote by $\mathbb{M}(G)$ the family of the maximal elements of $\mathcal{M}_{\geq 2}(G)$ under inclusion which are cliques or stable sets in G.

Proposition 6. *Given a graph* G *such that* $\max(\alpha_M(G),\omega_M(G)) \geq 2$*,*

$$M \in \mathbb{M}(G) \iff \begin{cases} M \in \mathcal{M}_{\geq 2}(G) \\ \textit{and} \\ \lambda_G(\widehat{M}) \in \{\square,\blacksquare\} \\ \textit{and} \\ M = \{v \in \widehat{M} : \{v\} \in \Pi(G[\widehat{M}])\}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. To begin, consider $M \in \mathbb{M}(G)$ and assume that M is a stable set in G. By Proposition 1.1, $M \in \mathcal{M}(G[\widehat{M}])$. Set

$$Q = \{X \in \Pi_1(G[\widehat{M}]) : X\cap M \neq \varnothing\}.$$

By definition of $\widehat{M}$, $|Q| \geq 2$ and hence $M = \bigcup Q$ because $Q \subseteq \mathcal{S}(G[\widehat{M}])$. Furthermore, $Q \subseteq \mathcal{S}(G[M])$ by Proposition 3. As all the strong modules of an empty graph are trivial, we obtain $|X| = 1$ for each $X \in Q$, that is,

$$M \subseteq \{v \in \widehat{M} : \{v\} \in \Pi(G[\widehat{M}])\}.$$

By Proposition 2.2, $Q \in \mathcal{M}(G[\widehat{M}]/\Pi(G[\widehat{M}]))$. For a contradiction, suppose that $\lambda_G(\widehat{M}) = \sqcup$. Since $Q \in \mathcal{M}_{\geq 2}(G[\widehat{M}]/\Pi(G[\widehat{M}]))$, $Q = \Pi(G[\widehat{M}])$ and hence $M = \widehat{M}$. As $|X| = 1$ for each $X \in Q$, $G[\widehat{M}]/\Pi(G[\widehat{M}])$ and $G[\widehat{M}]$ are isomorphic by Proposition 2.1. It would follow that $G[M]$ is prime. Consequently $\lambda_G(\widehat{M}) \in \{\square, \blacksquare\}$. Given $v \neq w \in M$, we have $(\{v\},\{w\})_{G[\widehat{M}]/\Pi(G[\widehat{M}])} = (v,w)_G = 0$. Thus

$$\lambda_G(\widehat{M}) = \square.$$

Since $\lambda_G(\widehat{M}) = \square$, $\Pi_1(G[\widehat{M}]) \in \mathcal{M}(G[\widehat{M}]/\Pi(G[\widehat{M}]))$. By Proposition 2.3, $\bigcup \Pi_1(G[\widehat{M}]) \in \mathcal{M}(G[\widehat{M}])$ and hence $\bigcup \Pi_1(G[\widehat{M}]) \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ by Proposition 1.2. Given $v \neq w \in \bigcup \Pi_1(G[\widehat{M}])$, we have $(v,w)_G = (\{v\},\{w\})_{G[\widehat{M}]/\Pi(G[\widehat{M}])} = 0$. Therefore $\bigcup \Pi_1(G[\widehat{M}])$ is a stable set of G. As $M \subseteq \bigcup \Pi_1(G[\widehat{M}])$, $M = \bigcup \Pi_1(G[\widehat{M}])$ by maximality of M. It follows that

$$M = \{v \in \widehat{M} : \{v\} \in \Pi(G[\widehat{M}])\}.$$

Conversely, consider $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\geq 2}(G)$ such that $\lambda_G(\widehat{M}) = \square$ and $M = \{v \in \widehat{M} : \{v\} \in \Pi(G[\widehat{M}])\}$. As $\lambda_G(\widehat{M}) = \square$, $\Pi_1(G[\widehat{M}]) \in \mathcal{M}(G[\widehat{M}]/\Pi(G[\widehat{M}]))$. By Proposition 2.3, $M = \bigcup \Pi_1(G[\widehat{M}]) \in \mathcal{M}(G[\widehat{M}])$ and hence $M \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ by Proposition 1.2. Since $(v,w)_G = (\{v\},\{w\}_{G[\widehat{M}]/\Pi(G[\widehat{M}])} = 0$ for $v \neq w \in M$, M is a stable set in G. There is $N \in \mathbb{M}(G)$ such that $N \supseteq M$. As M is a stable set in G, N is as well. By what precedes, $N = \{v \in \widehat{N} : \{v\} \in \Pi(G[\widehat{N}])\}$. We have $\widehat{M} \subseteq \widehat{N}$ because $M \subseteq N$. Furthermore $\widehat{M} \in \mathcal{S}(G[\widehat{N}])$ by Proposition 3. Given $v \in M$, we obtain $\{v\} \subsetneq \widehat{M} \subseteq \widehat{N}$. Since $\{v\} \in \Pi(G[\widehat{N}])$, $\widehat{M} = \widehat{N}$. Therefore $M = N$ because $M = \{v \in \widehat{M} : \{v\} \in \Pi(G[\widehat{M}])\}$ and $N = \{v \in \widehat{N} : \{v\} \in \Pi(G[\widehat{N}])\}$. ◇

Let G be a graph such that $\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G)) \geq 2$. Consider $M \in \mathbb{M}(G)$. By Proposition 6, $\lambda_G(\widehat{M}) \in \{\square, \blacksquare\}$ and $|\Pi_1(G[\widehat{M}])| = |M| \geq 2$. By Corollary 4,

$$p(G) \leq q(G) \leq \max(\{\lceil \log_2(|\Pi_1(G[Y])| + 1)\rceil : Y \in \mathcal{S}_{\geq 2}(G), \lambda_G(Y) \in \{\square, \blacksquare\}\}).$$

We have also

$$\max(\{\lceil \log_2(|\Pi_1(G[Y])| + 1)\rceil : Y \in \mathcal{S}_{\geq 2}(G), \lambda_G(Y) \in \{\square, \blacksquare\}\})$$

$$\| \qquad\qquad \text{(by Proposition 6)}$$

$$\max(\{\lceil \log_2(|M| + 1)\rceil : M \in \mathbb{M}(G)\})$$

$$\|$$

$$\lceil \log_2(\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G)) + 1)\rceil.$$

Consequently

$$p(G) \leq \lceil \log_2(\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G)) + 1)\rceil. \qquad \text{(Theorem 2)}$$

To obtain Corollary 1, we prove Lemma 1.

Proof of Lemma 1. Let G be a graph such that $\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G)) \geq 2$. There exists $S \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ such that $|S| = \max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G))$ and S is a clique or a stable set in G. Given an integer $p < \log_2(\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G)))$, consider any p-extension H of G. We must prove that H is not prime. We have $2^{|V(H)\setminus V(G)|} < |S|$ so that the function $S \longrightarrow 2^{V(H)\setminus V(G)}$, defined by $s \mapsto N_H(s) \cap (V(H) \setminus V(G))$, is not injective. There are $s \neq t \in S$ such that $v \longleftrightarrow_H \{s,t\}$ for every $v \in V(H) \setminus V(G)$. As S is a module of G, we have $v \longleftrightarrow_H \{s,t\}$ for every $v \in V(G) \setminus S$. Since S is a stable set in G, $\{s,t\}$ is a nontrival module of H. $\diamond$

When a graph or its complement admits isolated vertices, we obtain the following.

Lemma 5. *Given a graph G, if $\iota(G) \neq \varnothing$ or $\iota(\overline{G}) \neq \varnothing$, then*

$$p(G) \geq \lceil \log_2(\max(\iota(G), \iota(\overline{G})) + 1) \rceil.$$

Proof. By interchanging G and $\overline{G}$, assume that $\iota(G) \geq \iota(\overline{G})$. Given $p < \lceil \log_2(\iota(G) + 1) \rceil$, consider any p-extension H of G. We have $2^{|V(H)\setminus V(G)|} \leq \iota(G)$ and we verify that H is not prime.

For each $v \in V(G)$ such that $N_G(v) = \varnothing$, we have $N_H(v) \subseteq V(H) \setminus V(G)$. Thus $(N_H)_{\restriction\{v\in V(G):N_G(v)=\varnothing\}}$ is a function from $\{v \in V(G) : N_G(v) = \varnothing\}$ to $2^{V(H)\setminus V(G)}$. As observed in the proof of Lemma 2, if $(N_H)_{\restriction\{v\in V(G):N_G(v)=\varnothing\}}$ is not injective, then $\{u,v\}$ is a nontrivial module of H when $u \neq v \in \{v \in V(G) : N_G(v) = \varnothing\}$ with $N_H(u) = N_H(v)$. So assume that $(N_H)_{\restriction\{v\in V(G):N_G(v)=\varnothing\}}$ is injective. As $2^{|V(H)\setminus V(G)|} \leq \iota(G)$, we obtain that $(N_H)_{\restriction\{v\in V(G):N_G(v)=\varnothing\}}$ is bijective. Thus there is $u \in \{v \in V(G) : N_G(v) = \varnothing\}$ such that $N_H(u) = \varnothing$, that is, $u \in \{v \in V(G) : N_H(v) = \varnothing\}$. Therefore H is not prime. $\diamond$

The next is a simple consequence of Proposition 6 which is useful in proving Theorem 3.

Corollary 5. *Given a graph G such that $\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G)) \geq 2$, the elements of $\mathbb{M}(G)$ are pairwise disjoint.*

Proof. Consider $M, N \in \mathcal{M}_{\max}(G)$ such that $M \cap N \neq \varnothing$. Let $v \in M \cap N$. Since $\widehat{M}, \widehat{N} \in \mathcal{S}(G)$ and $v \in \widehat{M} \cap \widehat{N}$, $\widehat{M} \subseteq \widehat{N}$ or $\widehat{N} \subseteq \widehat{M}$. For instance, assume that $\widehat{M} \subseteq \widehat{N}$. By Proposition 3, $\widehat{M} \in \mathcal{S}(G[\widehat{N}])$. Furthermore $\{v\} \in \Pi(G[\widehat{N}])$ by Proposition 6. As $\{v\} \subsetneq \widehat{M} \subseteq \widehat{N}$, we obtain $\widehat{M} = \widehat{N}$. Lastly, $M = \{w \in \widehat{M} : \{w\} \in \Pi(G[\widehat{M}])\}$ and $N = \{w \in \widehat{N} : \{w\} \in \Pi(G[\widehat{N}])\}$ by Proposition 6. Thus $M = N$. $\diamond$

5 Proof of Theorem 3

Given a graph G, denote by $\mathbb{P}(G)$ the family of $M \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ such that $G[M]$ is prime. For every $M \in \mathbb{P}(G)$, $M \in \mathcal{S}(G)$ because $G[M]$ is prime. It follows that the elements of $\mathbb{P}(G)$ are pairwise disjoint. Thus the elements of $\mathbb{M}(G) \cup \mathbb{P}(G)$ are also by Corollary 5. Set

$$I(G) = V(G) \setminus ((\bigcup \mathbb{M}(G)) \cup (\bigcup \mathbb{P}(G))).$$

We prove Theorem 3 when $\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G)) = 2$.

Proposition 7. *For every graph G such that* $\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G)) = 2$,

$$p(G) = 2 \text{ if and only if } \iota(G) = 2 \text{ or } \iota(\overline{G}) = 2.$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 1 and Theorem 2 that $p(G) = 1$ or 2. To begin, assume that $\iota(G) = 2$ or $\iota(\overline{G}) = 2$. By Lemma 5, $p(G) \geq 2$ and hence $p(G) = 2$. Conversely, assume that $p(G) = 2$. Let $a \notin V(G)$. As $\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G)) = 2$, $|N| = 2$ for each $N \in \mathbb{M}(G)$. Let $N_0 \in \mathbb{M}(G)$. For $N \in \mathbb{P}(G)$, we have $G[N]$ is prime. By Lemma 4, $G[N]$ admits a prime extension H_N defined on $N \cup \{a\}$. We consider any 1-extension H of G to $V(G) \cup \{a\}$ satisfying the following.

1. For each $N \in \mathbb{M}(G)$, $a \not\leftrightarrow_H N$.
2. For each $N \in \mathbb{P}(G)$, $H[N \cup \{a\}] = H_N$.
3. Let $v \in I(G)$. There is $i \in \{0,1\}$ such that $(v, N_0)_G = i$. We require that $(v, a)_H \neq i$.

To begin, we prove that $\mathcal{S}_{\geq 2}(G) \cap \mathcal{M}(H) = \varnothing$. Given $M \in \mathcal{S}_{\geq 2}(G)$, we have to verify that $a \not\leftrightarrow_H M$. Let N be a minimal element under inclusion of $\{N' \in \mathcal{S}_{\geq 2}(G) : N' \subseteq M\}$. By Proposition 3, $\Pi(G[N]) \subseteq \mathcal{S}(G)$. By minimality of N, $\Pi(G[N]) = \Pi_1(G[N])$ so that $G[N]$ and $G[N]/\Pi(G[N])$ are isomorphic by Proposition 2.1. We distinguish the following two cases.

- Assume that $\lambda_G(N) = \sqcup$. We obtain that $G[N]$ is prime, that is, $N \in \mathbb{P}(G)$. As $H[N \cup \{a\}]$ is prime, $a \not\leftrightarrow_H N$.
- Assume that $\lambda_G(N) \in \{\square, \blacksquare\}$. By Proposition 6, $N \in \mathbb{M}(G)$. Thus $|N| = 2$ and $a \not\leftrightarrow_H N$ by definition of H.

In both cases, $a \not\leftrightarrow_H N$ and hence $a \not\leftrightarrow_H M$.

Now we prove that $\mathcal{M}_{\geq 2}(G) \cap \mathcal{M}(H) = \varnothing$. Let $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\geq 2}(G)$. Since $\mathcal{S}_{\geq 2}(G) \cap \mathcal{M}(H) = \varnothing$, assume that $M \notin \mathcal{S}_{\geq 2}(G)$. Set $Q = \{X \in \Pi(G[\widehat{M}]) : X \cap M \neq \varnothing\}$. By Proposition 1.1, $M \in \mathcal{M}(G[\widehat{M}])$. By definition of $\widehat{M}$, $|Q| \geq 2$. Thus $M = \bigcup Q$ because $\Pi(G[\widehat{M}]) \subseteq \mathcal{S}(G[\widehat{M}])$. Furthermore $Q \neq \Pi(G[\widehat{M}])$ because $M \notin \mathcal{S}_{\geq 2}(G)$. By Proposition 2.2, $Q \in \mathcal{M}(G[\widehat{M}]/\Pi(G[\widehat{M}]))$. As $2 \leq |Q| < |\Pi(G[\widehat{M}])|$, $\lambda_G(\widehat{M}) \in \{\square, \blacksquare\}$. If there is $X \in Q \cap \Pi_{\geq 2}(G[\widehat{M}])$, then $a \not\leftrightarrow_H X$ by what precedes and hence $a \not\leftrightarrow_H M$. Assume that $Q \subseteq \Pi_1(G[\widehat{M}])$. We obtain that M is a clique or a stable set in G. Since $\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G)) = 2$, $M \in \mathbb{M}(G)$ and $a \not\leftrightarrow_H M$ by definition of H.

As $p(G) = 2$, H admits a nontrivial module M_H. We have $a \in M_H$ because $\mathcal{M}_{\geq 2}(G) \cap \mathcal{M}(H) = \varnothing$.

First, we show that $N \subseteq M_H$ for each $N \in \mathbb{P}(G)$. By Proposition 1.1, $M_H \cap (N \cup \{a\}) \in \mathcal{M}(H[N \cup \{a\}])$. Since $H[N \cup \{a\}]$ is prime and $a \in M_H \cap (N \cup \{a\})$, we obtain either $(M_H \setminus \{a\}) \cap N = \varnothing$ or $N \subseteq M_H \setminus \{a\}$. Suppose for a contradiction that $(M_H \setminus \{a\}) \cap N = \varnothing$. By Proposition 1.1, $M_H \setminus \{a\} \in \mathcal{M}(G)$. There is $i \in \{0,1\}$ such that $(M_H \setminus \{a\}, N)_G = i$ by Proposition 1.3. Therefore $(a, N)_H = i$ which contradicts the fact that $H[N \cup \{a\}]$ is prime. It follows that $N \subseteq M_H$. Thus

$$\bigcup \mathbb{P}(G) \subseteq M_H. \tag{2}$$

Second, we show that $N \cap M_H \neq \varnothing$ for each $N \in \mathbb{M}(G)$. Otherwise consider $N \in \mathbb{M}(G)$ such that $N \cap M_H = \varnothing$. There is $i \in \{0,1\}$ such that $(M_H \setminus \{a\}, N)_G = i$. Thus $(a, N)_H = i$ which contradicts $a \not\longleftrightarrow_H N$. Therefore

$$N \cap M_H \neq \varnothing \quad \text{for each} \quad N \in \mathbb{M}(G). \tag{3}$$

Third, let $v \in I(G)$. By (3), $N_0 \cap M_H \neq \varnothing$. Since $(v, N_0 \cap M_H)_G \neq (v, a)_H$, $v \in M_H$. Hence

$$I(G) \subseteq M_H. \tag{4}$$

By (2) and (4),

$$V(G) \setminus M_H \subseteq \mathbb{M}(G). \tag{5}$$

To conclude, consider $v \in V(H) \setminus M_H$. By (5), there is $N_v \in \mathbb{M}(G)$ such that $v \in N_v$. By interchanging G and $\overline{G}$, assume that N_v is a stable set in G. Since $v \longleftrightarrow_H M_H$ and $(v, N_v \cap M_H)_G = 0$, we obtain $(v, M_H)_H = 0$. Let $N \in \mathbb{M}(G) \setminus \{N_v\}$. By Corollary 5, $N \cap N_v = \varnothing$. As $N \cap M_H \neq \varnothing$ by (3), we have $(v, N \cap M_H)_G = 0$ and hence $(v, N)_G = 0$. It follows that $N_G(v) = \varnothing$. Therefore $(N_v, V(G) \setminus N_v)_G = 0$ because $N_v \in \mathcal{M}(G)$. Since N_v is a stable set in G, we obtain $N_v \subseteq \{u \in V(G) : N_G(u) = \varnothing\}$. Clearly $\{u \in V(G) : N_G(u) = \varnothing\} \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ and $\{u \in V(G) : N_G(u) = \varnothing\}$ is a stable set in G. Thus $\iota(G) \leq \max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G)) = 2$. Consequently $N_v = \{u \in V(G) : N_G(u) = \varnothing\}$. ◇

Proof of Theorem 3. Consider a graph G such that $\max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G)) = 2^k$ where $k \geq 1$. It follows from Lemma 1 and Theorem 2 that $p(G) = k$ or $k+1$. To begin, assume that $\iota(G) = 2^k$ or $\iota(\overline{G}) = 2^k$. By Lemma 5, $p(G) \geq k+1$ and hence $p(G) = k+1$.

Conversely, assume that $p(G) = k+1$. If $k = 1$, then it suffices to apply Proposition 7. Assume that $k \geq 2$. For convenience set

$$\mathbb{M}_{\max}(G) = \{N \in \mathbb{M}(G) : |N| = \max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G))\}.$$

With each $N \in \mathbb{M}_{\max}(G)$ associate $w_N \in N$. Set $W = \{w_N : N \in \mathbb{M}_{\max}(G)\}$.

We prove that $\max(\alpha_M(G-W), \omega_M(G-W)) = 2^k - 1$. Let $N \in \mathbb{M}_{\max}(G)$. By Corollary 5, the elements of $\mathbb{M}_{\max}(G)$ are pairwise disjoint. Thus $N \setminus W = N \setminus \{w_N\}$. Clearly $N \setminus \{w_N\}$ is a clique or a stable set in $G - W$. Furthermore $N \setminus \{w_N\} \in \mathcal{M}(G-W)$. Therefore $2^k - 1 = |N \setminus \{w_N\}| \leq \max(\alpha_M(G-W), \omega_M(G-W))$. Now consider $N' \in \mathbb{M}_{\max}(G-W)$. We show that $N' \in \mathcal{M}(G)$. We have to verify that for each $N \in \mathbb{M}_{\max}(G)$, $w_N \longleftrightarrow_G N'$. Let $N \in \mathbb{M}_{\max}(G)$. First, asume that there is $v \in (N \setminus \{w_N\}) \setminus N'$. We have $v \longleftrightarrow_G N'$. As N is a clique or a stable set in G, $\{v, w_N\} \in \mathcal{M}(G[N])$. By Proposition 1.2, $\{v, w_N\} \in \mathcal{M}(G)$. Thus $w_N \longleftrightarrow_G N'$. Second, assume that $N \setminus \{w_N\} \subseteq N'$. Clearly $w_N \longleftrightarrow_G N'$ when $N \setminus \{w_N\} = N'$. Assume that $N' \setminus (N \setminus \{w_N\}) \neq \varnothing$. By interchanging G and $\overline{G}$, assume that N' is a clique in $G - W$. As $N \setminus \{w_N\} \subseteq N'$ and $|N \setminus \{w_N\}| \geq 2$, we obtain that N is a clique in G. Since $(N \setminus \{w_N\}, N' \setminus N)_G = 1$ and since $N \in \mathcal{M}(G)$, we have $(w_N, N' \setminus N)_G = 1$. Furthermore $(w_N, N \setminus \{w_N\})_G = 1$ because N is a clique in G. Therefore $(w_N, N')_G = 1$. Consequently $N' \in$

$\mathcal{M}(G)$. As N' is a clique in G, there is $M \in \mathbb{M}(G)$ such that $M \supseteq N'$. If $M \notin \mathbb{M}_{\max}(G)$, then $|N'| \leq |M| < \max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G))$. If $M \in \mathbb{M}_{\max}(G)$, then $N' \subseteq M \setminus \{w_M\}$ and hence $|N'| < |M| = \max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G))$. In both cases, we have $|N'| = \max(\alpha_M(G - W), \omega_M(G - W)) < \max(\alpha_M(G), \omega_M(G))$. It follows that $\max(\alpha_M(G - W), \omega_M(G - W)) = 2^k - 1$.

By Lemma 1 and Theorem 2, $p(G - W) = k$ and hence there exists a prime k-extension H' of $G - W$. We extend H' to $V(H') \cup W$ as follows. Let $N \in \mathbb{M}_{\max}(G)$. Consider the function $f_N : N \setminus \{w_N\} \longrightarrow 2^{V(H') \setminus V(G-W)}$ defined by $v \mapsto N_{H'}(v) \setminus V(G - W)$ for $v \in N \setminus \{w_N\}$. Since H' is prime, f_N is injective. As $|N \setminus \{w_N\}| = 2^k - 1$ and $|2^{V(H') \setminus V(G-W)}| = 2^k$, there is a unique $X_N \subseteq V(H') \setminus V(G-W)$ such that $f_N(v) \neq X_N$ for every $v \in N \setminus \{w_N\}$. Let H be the extension of H' to $V(H') \cup W$ such that $N_H(w_N) \cap (V(H') \setminus V(G-W)) = X_N$ for each $N \in \mathbb{M}_{\max}(G)$. As $p(G) = k + 1$, H is not prime. Consider a nontrivial module M_H of H.

Observe the following. Given $N \neq N' \in \mathbb{M}_{\max}(G)$,

$$\left.\begin{array}{r} N \cap M_H \neq \varnothing \\ \text{and} \\ N' \cap M_H \neq \varnothing \end{array}\right\} \Longrightarrow M_H \supseteq V(H'). \tag{6}$$

Indeed, by Proposition 1.1, $M_H \cap V(G) \in \mathcal{M}(G)$. Since $\widehat{N}, \widehat{N'} \in \mathcal{S}(G)$ and since $(M_H \cap V(G)) \cap \widehat{N} \neq \varnothing$ and $(M_H \cap V(G)) \cap \widehat{N'} \neq \varnothing$, $M_H \cap V(G)$ is comparable to $\widehat{N}$ and $\widehat{N'}$ under inclusion. Suppose for a contradiction that $M_H \cap V(G) \subsetneq \widehat{N}$ and $M_H \cap V(G) \subsetneq \widehat{N'}$. It follows that $N' \cap \widehat{N} \neq \varnothing$ and $N \cap \widehat{N'} \neq \varnothing$. As $\widehat{N'} \in \mathcal{S}(G)$, $\widehat{N'} \subsetneq N$ or $N \subseteq \widehat{N'}$. In the first instance, it follows from Proposition 3 that $\widehat{N'}$ would be a nontrivial strong module of $G[N]$ which contradicts the fact that N is a clique or a stable set in G. Thus $N \subseteq \widehat{N'}$ and hence $\widehat{N} \subseteq \widehat{N'}$. Similarly $N' \subseteq \widehat{N}$ and $\widehat{N'} \subseteq \widehat{N}$. Therefore $\widehat{N} = \widehat{N'}$ and it would follow from Proposition 6 that $N = N'$. Consequently $\widehat{N} \subseteq (M_H \cap V(G))$ or $\widehat{N'} \subseteq (M_H \cap V(G))$. For instance, assume that $\widehat{N} \subseteq (M_H \cap V(G))$. By Proposition 1.1, $M_H \cap V(H') \in \mathcal{M}(H')$. Furthermore $(M_H \cap V(H')) \supseteq (N \setminus W)$ and $N \setminus W = N \setminus \{w_N\}$ by Corollary 5. Since H' is prime, we have $V(H') \subseteq M_H$. It follows that (6) holds.

As H' is prime and $M_H \cap V(H') \in \mathcal{M}(H')$, we have either $|M_H \cap V(H')| \leq 1$ or $M_H \supseteq V(H')$. For a contradiction, suppose that $|M_H \cap V(H')| \leq 1$. There is $N \in \mathbb{M}_{\max}(G)$ such that $w_N \in M_H$. It follows from (6) that

$$N' \cap M_H = \varnothing \text{ for each } N' \in \mathbb{M}_{\max}(G) \setminus \{N\}. \tag{7}$$

Thus $M_H \cap W = \{w_N\}$ and there is $v \in V(H')$ such that $M_H \cap V(H') = \{v\}$. Clearly $M_H = \{v, w_N\}$ and we distinguish the following two cases to obtain a contradiction.

- Suppose that $v \in V(G-W)$. By Proposition 1.1, $\{v, w_N\} \in \mathcal{M}(G)$. Therefore there is $N' \in \mathbb{M}_{\max}(G)$ such that $N' \supseteq \{v, w_N\}$. By (7), $N = N'$ and we would obtain $N_H(w_N) \cap (V(H') \setminus V(G - W)) = f_N(v)$.

- Suppose that $v \in V(H') \setminus V(G-W)$. There is $i \in \{0, 1\}$ such that $(w_N, N \setminus \{w_N\})_G = i$. We obtain $(v, N \setminus \{w_N\})_{H'} = i$ because $\{v, w_N\} \in \mathcal{M}(H)$.

Since f_N is injective, the function $g_N : N \setminus \{w_N\} \longrightarrow 2^{((V(H') \setminus V(G-W)) \setminus \{v\})}$, defined by $g_N(u) = f_N(u) \setminus \{v\}$ for $u \in N \setminus \{w_N\}$, is injective as well. We would obtain $2^k - 1 \leq 2^{k-1}$.

Consequently $V(H') \subseteq M_H$. As M_H is a nontrivial module of H, there exists $N \in \mathbb{M}_{\max}(G)$ such that $w_N \notin M$. By interchanging G and $\overline{G}$, assume that N is a stable set in G. We have $(w_N, N \setminus \{w_N\})_G = 0$ and hence $(w_N, V(H'))_H = 0$. In particular $(w_N, V(G-W))_G = 0$. Given $N' \in \mathbb{M}_{\max}(G) \setminus \{N\}$, we obtain $(w_N, N' \setminus \{w_{N'}\})_G = 0$. Since $N' \in \mathcal{M}(G)$, $(w_N, w_{N'})_G = 0$. It follows that $N_G(w_N) = \varnothing$. As at the end of the proof of Proposition 7, we conclude by $N = \{u \in V(G) : N_G(u) = \varnothing\}$. $\Diamond$

Lastly, we examine the graphs G such that $\alpha_M(G) = \omega_M(G) = 1$. For these, $\mathbb{M}(G) = \varnothing$. Thus either $|V(G)| \leq 1$ or $|V(G)| \geq 4$ and G is not prime.

Proposition 8. *For every non prime graph G such that $|V(G)| \geq 4$ and $\alpha_M(G) = \omega_M(G) = 1$, we have $p(G) = 1$.*

Proof. Consider a minimal element $N_{\min}$ of $\mathcal{S}_{\geq 2}(G)$. By Proposition 3, $\Pi(G[N_{\min}]) \subseteq \mathcal{S}(G)$. By minimality of $N_{\min}$, $\Pi(G[N_{\min}]) = \Pi_1(G[N_{\min}])$. Thus $G[N_{\min}]$ and $G[N_{\min}]/\Pi(G[N_{\min}])$ are isomorphic by Proposition 2.1. If $\lambda_G(N_{\min}) \in \{\square, \blacksquare\}$, then $N_{\min}$ is a clique or a stable set in G and there would be $N \in \mathbb{M}(G)$ such that $N \supseteq N_{\min}$. Therefore $\lambda_G(N_{\min}) = \sqcup$ and $N_{\min} \in \mathbb{P}(G)$.

Let $a \notin V(G)$. For each $N \in \mathbb{P}(G)$, $G[N]$ is prime. By Lemma 4, $G[N]$ admits a prime 1-extension H_N to $N \cup \{a\}$. We consider the 1-extension H of G to $V(G) \cup \{a\}$ satisfying the following.

1. For each $N \in \mathbb{P}(G)$, $H[N \cup \{a\}] = H_N$.
2. Let $v \in I(G)$. There is $i \in \{0, 1\}$ such that $(v, N_{\min})_G = i$. We require that $(v, a)_H \neq i$.

We proceed as in the proof of Proposition 7, to show that $\mathcal{M}_{\geq 2}(G) \cap \mathcal{M}(H) = \varnothing$. To begin, we prove that $\mathcal{S}_{\geq 2}(G) \cap \mathcal{M}(H) = \varnothing$. Given $M \in \mathcal{S}_{\geq 2}(G)$, we have to verify that $a \not\longleftrightarrow_H M$. Let N be a minimal element under inclusion of $\{N' \in \mathcal{S}_{\geq 2}(G) : N' \subseteq M\}$. We obtain that $\Pi(G[N]) = \Pi_1(G[N])$ so that $G[N]$ and $G[N]/\Pi(G[N])$ are isomorphic by Proposition 2.1. If $\lambda_G(N) \in \{\square, \blacksquare\}$, then N is a clique or a stable set in G and there would be $N' \in \mathbb{M}(G)$ such that $N' \supseteq N$. Thus $\lambda_G(N) = \sqcup$. We obtain that $G[N]$ is prime, that is, $N \in \mathbb{P}(G)$. Since $H[N \cup \{a\}]$ is prime, $a \not\longleftrightarrow_H N$ and hence $a \not\longleftrightarrow_H M$.

Now we prove that $\mathcal{M}_{\geq 2}(G) \cap \mathcal{M}(H) = \varnothing$. Let $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\geq 2}(G)$. Since $\mathcal{S}_{\geq 2}(G) \cap \mathcal{M}(H) = \varnothing$, assume that $M \notin \mathcal{S}_{\geq 2}(G)$. Set $Q = \{X \in \Pi(G[\widehat{M}]) : X \cap M \neq \varnothing\}$. We obtain that $M = \bigcup Q$, $|Q| \geq 2$ and $\lambda_G(\widehat{M}) \in \{\square, \blacksquare\}$. If $|\Pi_1(G[\widehat{M}])| \geq 2$, then we would have $\{v \in \widehat{M} : \{v\} \in \Pi(G[\widehat{M}])\} \in \mathbb{M}(G)$ by Proposition 6. Consequently $|\Pi_1(G[\widehat{M}])| \leq 1$ and there is $X \in Q \cap \Pi_{\geq 2}(G[\widehat{M}])$. By what precedes $a \not\longleftrightarrow_H X$ and hence $a \not\longleftrightarrow_H M$.

Lastly, we establish that H is prime. Let $M_H \in \mathcal{M}_{\geq 2}(H)$. As previously shown, $a \in M$. We show that $N \subseteq M_H$ for each $N \in \mathbb{P}(G)$. By Proposition 1.1,

$M_H \cap (N \cup \{a\}) \in \mathcal{M}(H[N \cup \{a\}])$. Since $H[N \cup \{a\}]$ is prime and $a \in M_H \cap (N \cup \{a\})$, we obtain either $(M_H \smallsetminus \{a\}) \cap N = \varnothing$ or $N \subseteq M_H \smallsetminus \{a\}$. Suppose for a contradiction that $(M_H \smallsetminus \{a\}) \cap N = \varnothing$. By Proposition 1.1, $M_H \smallsetminus \{a\} \in \mathcal{M}(G)$. There is $i \in \{0,1\}$ such that $(M_H \smallsetminus \{a\}, N)_G = i$ by Proposition 1.3. Therefore $(a, N)_H = i$ which contradicts the fact that $H[N \cup \{a\}]$ is prime. It follows that $N \subseteq M_H$ for each $N \in \mathbb{P}(G)$. In particular $N_{\min} \subseteq M$. Let $v \in I(G)$. As $(v, N_{\min})_G \neq (v, a)_H$, $v \in M_H$. Consequently $M_H = V(H)$. ◇

References

[1] A. Boussaïri, P. Ille, Prime bound of a graph, 2011, `http://arxiv.org/abs/1110.2935v1`.

[2] R. Brignall, Simplicity in relational structures and its application to permutation classes, Ph.D. Thesis, University of St Andrews, 2007.

[3] R. Brignall, N. Ruškuc and V. Vatter, Simple extensions of combinatorial structures, Mathematika (2011) 57, 193–214.

[4] R. McConnell, F. de Montgolfier, Linear-time modular decomposition of directed graphs, Discrete Appl. Math. 145 (2005), 198–209.

[5] A. Ehrenfeucht, T. Harju, G. Rozenberg, The Theory of 2-Structures, A Framework for Decomposition and Transformation of Graphs, World Scientific, Singapore, 1999.

[6] T. Gallai, Transitiv orientierbare Graphen, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. 18 (1967), 25–66.

[7] F. Maffray, M. Preissmann, "A translation of Tibor Gallai's paper: Transitiv orientierbare Graphen," Perfect Graphs J.L. Ramirez-Alfonsin and B.A. Reed, (Editors), Wiley, New York (2001), pp. 25–66.

[8] D.P. Sumner, Indecomposable graphs, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Massachusetts, 1971.